IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiffs

CIVIL NO.: 19-1353

v.

Civil monetary penalty pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1526(f)

ELIAS GONZALEZ

Defendant

COMPLAINT

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

COMES NOW, the United States of America, U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP), and by and through the undersigned attorneys very respectfully STATES, ALLEGES AND PRAYS:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This action is brought pursuant to 19 U.S.C. Section 1526(f), to recover a civil monetary penalty in the amount of \$70,525.00 against defendant Elias Gonzalez, for violations of 19 U.S.C. Section 1526, for importing into the United States merchandise of foreign manufacture when such merchandise, or the label, sign, print, package, wrapper, or receptacle, bears a trademark owned by a citizen of, or by a corporation or association created or organized within, the United States, and registered in the Patent and Trademark Office by a person domiciled in the United States.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2 This Honorable Court has jurisdiction and venue over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C., Section 1355. The facts underlying this action took place on or about September 25, 2014, at the Luis Muñoz Marín International Airport, in Carolina, Puerto Rico, when CBP officers performed an inbound inspection of mail shipments at the DHL warehouse.

PARTIES

- 3. The United States of America brings this action on behalf of the United States Customs and Border Protection (CBP), an agency of the United States that is primarily tasked with regulating and facilitating international trade, collecting import duties, and enforcing U.S. regulations, including trade, drug, and immigration laws.
- 4. Elias Gonzalez, whose last known mailing address is Urb. El Cortijo, Calle 3 #C-3, Bayamon, Puerto Rico 00956, was the intended receiver of a DHL package, containing counterfeit merchandise, that was seized by CBP officers.

FACTS

- 5. On September 25, 2014 while performing IPR Operation of mail shipments at the DHL Cargo warehouse at the Luis Muñoz Marín Airport, CBP officers detained and inspected a DHL package with airway bill #4715404654 for suspicion of importing counterfeit merchandise. The DHL package was addressed to Defendant, Elias Gonzalez, and the sender was Chijanghuihua Electronics Co. Ltd., from Hong Kong, China.
- 6. Inside the package a commercial invoice was found that identified the Defendant as the receiver and describing the merchandise as "WATCH", the quantity as 250, at a total declared value of \$625.00.

- 7. Upon further inspection, CBP officers discovered a total of 255 watches. There were 100 Pandora watches and 155 Mulco watches. CBP seized only the Mulco watches (hereinafter the merchandise), which are protected by Customs Recordation Number TMK 14-00719 and U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Registration Number 3591872.
- 8 Based on field findings, the merchandise was deemed counterfeit, being appraised by a CBP's Import Specialist at \$70,525.00, as manufacturer's suggested retail price, if these had been genuine.
- 9. On November 5, 2014, CBP issued to the Defendant a Notice of Seizure of the merchandise. This was followed by a Notice of Penalty or Liquidated Damages Incurred and Demand for Payment that was issued on August 26, 2015, in the amount of \$70,525.00 against the Defendant, pursuant to 19 U.S.C. Section 1526(f).
- 10. In efforts to reach an out of court payment of this penalty, Plaintiff sent demand letters to Defendant, via certified mail, to his/her known address: Urb. El Cortijo, Calle 3 #C-3, Bayamon, Puerto Rico 00956, on June 19, 2017 and June 14, 2018. Nevertheless, to date, Plaintiff has not received response or payment from the Defendant.

PRAYER FOR RELEIF

- 11. The United States refers to and incorporates by reference, the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 10 of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 12. Anticounterfeiting Consumer Protection act (ACPA), codified at Section 1526 of title 19 of the United States Code, provides protection to registered trademark holders by directing the seizure and forfeiture of counterfeit trademark merchandise imported into the United States and the issuance of civil penalties against violating importers.

Case 3:19-cv-01353 Document 1 Filed 04/12/19 Page 4 of 4

13. Pursuant to Section 1526(f) the civil penalty to be issued for a first seizure, "shall

not be more than the value that the merchandise would have had if it were genuine, according to

the manufacturer's suggested retail price". Based on the foregoing, demand is made for the total

amount of \$70,525.00.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that judgment be entered in its favor

and against Defendant, Elias Gonzalez for payment of a civil monetary penalty in the amount of

\$70,525.00, and that the Court award such other costs and further relief as it may deem just and

proper under law and equity.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED.

In San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 12th day of April, 2019.

ROSA E. RODRIGUEZ-VELEZ,

United States Attorney

s/David O. Martorani-Dale

David O. Martorani-Dale

Assistant U.S. Attorney

U.S.D.C.-P.R. 226004

Torre Chardon, Suite 1201

350 Carlos Chardon Street

San Juan, Puerto Rico 00918

Tel. (787) 766-5656

Fax (787) 766-6219

Email: david.o.martorani@usdoj.gov

4

JS 44 (Rev. 02/19)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANT	S		
UNITED STATES OF A	MERICA			Elias Gonzalez	~		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, AUSA David O. Martorar	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C Address, and Telephone Numb ni-Dale	er)		County of Residence NOTE: IN LAND OF THE TRACE Attorneys (If Known)	(IN U.S. P CONDEMNATI T OF LAND IN	LAINTIFF CASES	Bayamon, PR ONLY) THE LOCATION OF
US Attorney's Office, 356 San Juan, PR 00918 Ph		1201					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in (One Box (Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF	PRINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)				PTF DEF	Incorporated or P	
U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	en of Another State	2 0 2	Incorporated and of Business In	
				en or Subject of a Creign Country	J 3	Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			1 60	NAME OF THE PERSON OF THE PERS			of Suit Code Descriptions.
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans ☐ (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Conditions of Confinement	TY	EABOR Description of Property 21 USC 881 LABOR Description of Property 21 USC 881 Description of Property 21 USC 881 LABOR Description of Property 21 USC 881 LABOR Description of Property 21 USC 881 Act Description of Property 21 USC 881 Act Description of Property 21 USC 881 Description of Property 21 U	422 Appe 423 With 28 U 424 Appe 423 With 28 U 426 Appe 426 Appe	ikkuptcy al 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157 RTY RIGHTS rights at t- Abbreviated Drug Application mark SECURITY (1395ff) : Lung (923) : C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) LLTAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 70 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 896 Arbitration 989 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	Cite the U.S. Civil State Section 10 of the Section 10 of the Civil action to rec	Appellate Court Itute under which you are Anti counterfeiting IUSE: OVER CBP administr IS A CLASS ACTION	Consum ative fine	ened Anoth (specify o not cite jurisdictional sta ner Protection Act 1	er District) itutes unless din 9 USC Sec final CI JI	ction 1526(f)	Litigation - Direct File
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	19 IOUNT	SIGNATURE OF ACT	farfe	FRECORD JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OCE.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

CATEGORY SHEET

You must accompany your complaint with this Category Sheet, and the Civil Cover Sheet (JS-44).

USDC-PR Bar Number: Email Address:		david.o.martorani@usdoj.gov					
	Plaintiff:	United States of America					
	Defendant:	Elias Gonzalez					
	Indicate the categ	ory to which this case belongs:					
		il Case					
	Social Securi	ity					
	Banking						
	Injunction						
	Indicate the title a	and number of related cases (if any).					
•	Has a prior action	between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed before this Court?					
	┌ Yes						
	⊠ No						
	Is this case require	ed to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2284?					
	Yes						
	⊠ No						
•	Does this case que	oes this case question the constitutionality of a state statute? (See, Fed.R.Civ. P. 24)					
	Yes						
	▼ No						

rev. Dec. 2009

Print Form

Reset Form